

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/758,116	HIROI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Monica A. Huson	1732	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Monica A. Huson.

(3) _____.

 (2) E. Rico Hernandez.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 April 2007
Time: ~330pm EST
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called Mr. Hernandez to suggest an Examiner's Amendment which would clarify the existing independent claim. Specifically, clarification in light of Para. 0016 of the specification was suggested ("...wherein the whole lateral side of the boss and/or rim is not essentially pressed..."). Mr. Hernandez indicated that he would be amenable to the suggested amendment.